

Transportation Advisory Group – Sub Report B



Report subject	Traffic Regulation Orders – Disabled Bay Proposals (P1 2019 September 2019)
Meeting date	22 January 2020
Status	Public Report
Executive summary	To consider representations to the advertisement of Traffic Regulation Orders for P1 2019 Disabled Bay Proposals September 2019
Recommendations	The Transportation Advisory Group is asked to consider recommending to the Cabinet that it approves: The Order is confirmed/sealed as advertised
Reason for recommendations	P1 2019 Disabled Bay Proposals September 2019 To approve the making/sealing of changes to the Traffic Regulations Order (TRO) implementing changes to on-street disabled bays.
Portfolio Holder(s):	Councillor Andy Hadley – Cabinet Member for Transport and Infrastructure
Service Director	Julian McLaughlin, Growth & Infrastructure
Contributors	Chris Parkes, Team Leader - Traffic Management Steve Dean, Traffic Management Engineer
Contributors	Chris Parkes – Team Leader Traffic Management
Wards	Various
Classification	For Decision

Background

1. Residents who hold a blue disabled badge for parking may apply for a residential disabled bay outside their home subject to certain conditions. These can be either a general disabled bay for use by all blue badge holders, or a permit bay for use by the permit holder only.

All the proposed disabled bays meet the required conditions and have successfully completed the disabled bay application process. All the proposed removals have been requested by the applicant or residents and have been ratified by Officers.

A report was submitted to Cabinet in September and approval was given to advertise the proposals. The proposals were advertised from 27 September 2019 to 18 October 2019. The response to the advertisement is summarised in the appendix.

Summary of financial implications

2. The costs associated with both the consultation and implementation of the Traffic Regulations Order (TRO) will be covered by the income from the disabled bay application fees. The whole review cost is estimated to be £8,000.

Summary of legal implications

3. Highways Authorities are required to give formal consideration to any representations received during the advertisement period.

Summary of human resources implications

4. None.

Summary of environmental impact

5. None

Summary of public health implications

6. None

Summary of equality implications

7. Equality and Diversity Impact assessment is enclosed in the background papers.

Summary of risk assessment

8. The initial risk assessments that have been completed have been classed as low risk.

Background papers

Initial Risk assessment
EINA Screening Record

Appendices

Appendix – Summary of representations, and responses to issues raised

Appendix 1

Summary of Representations, and Responses to Issues Raised P1 2019 Disabled Bay Proposals September 2019

The outcome of the public consultation was;

Representations	Response
No submissions in support	Noted. It is usual for a Disabled Bay TRO not to receive supporting submissions as each application must meet certain criteria prior to being included in the process.
Three submissions objecting to the proposals.	
1. Local Councillor – objecting to the removal of disabled bays.	1. The bays listed for removal are no longer required by the residents. All requests to remove a bay are thoroughly checked before being added to the TRO process.
2. Objection received to the lack of parking directly outside the resident's property. The resident also has a blue badge and needs a parking space outside their property.	2. The conditions for a residential disabled bay have been met. The objector has now applied for their own disabled bay. There is sufficient space for another bay to be implemented.
3. Objection due to the lack of on-street parking.	3. The applicant for the bay in question has withdrawn their application. The bay will not be implemented.

Recommendation

Overall, the objections are not considered substantive. Therefore, the recommendation is for the proposals to be confirmed, sealed and implemented as advertised.